## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/618,277	DHARMARAJAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MIRANDA LE	2169	

•	Examino	Aironic	1	
	MIRANDA LE	2169		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[</li></ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		in the final rejection, whi	chover ie later In	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as	
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>				
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number or finally reje	oted ciairris.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b)      will will will will will will will	be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to compare the compared to the comp	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•		
11. \( \subseteq  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. A Other: Interview Summary enclosed.				
/Miranda Le/				
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments do not overcome the final rejection. Please see the enclosed attachment..